IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Vladimir Lemeshko,	: : : Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff, v.	: :
Alliance Health Networks, Inc.,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
Defendant.	· :
	:

COMPLAINT & JURY DEMAND

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Vladimir Lemeshko, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et. seq. (the "TCPA").
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 3. The Plaintiff, Vladimir Lemeshko ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Oak Park, Michigan, and is a "person" as the term is defined by 47 U.S.C.A. § 153(39).
- 4. Defendant Alliance Health Networks, Inc. ("Alliance"), is a Utah business entity with an address of 9 Exchange Place, Suite 200, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111, and is a "person" as the term is defined by 47 U.S.C.A. § 153(39).

FACTS

- 5. Within the last year, Alliance placed calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 248-xxx-6609, in an attempt to solicit to Plaintiff its services.
- 6. At all times referenced herein, Alliance placed calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone by using an automated telephone dialer system ("ATDS") and/or by using an artificial or prerecorded voice.
- 7. Plaintiff has no business relations with Alliance and never requested by an agreement or otherwise that he be contacted by Alliance.
- 8. Plaintiff never provided his cellular telephone number to Alliance and never provided his consent to Alliance to be contacted on his cellular telephone.
- 9. When Plaintiff answered calls from Alliance, he heard the sound of dial tones for several seconds before being connected to a live representative.
- 10. During the first conversation, Plaintiff advised Alliance that he was not interested in the services Alliance was offering and asked Alliance to cease calling him.
- 11. Alliance agreed to take Plaintiff's number out of its database and assured Plaintiff that the automated calls would stop.
- 12. Nonetheless, Alliance thereafter continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone.

COUNT I

<u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.</u>

13. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 14. Without prior consent the Defendant contacted the Plaintiff by means of automatic telephone calls or prerecorded messages at a cellular telephone or pager in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 15. Defendant's Predictive Dialers have the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.
- 16. The telephone number called by Defendant was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs charges for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 17. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were not placed for "emergency purposes" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 18. As a result of each of Defendant's negligent violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call placed in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 19. As a result of each of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

- 1. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- 2. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C);
- 3. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: April 24, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff Vladimir Lemeshko
LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C.
1100 Summer Street
Stamford, CT 06905
Telephone: (203) 653-2250

Facsimile: (888) 953-6237

Email: slemberg@lemberglaw.com